		Flag.	
1			
2		FILED	
3		AUG 1 2 2020	
4		DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE	
5		By D. DOCK	
6		κ.	
7			
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	* * *		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of		
12	RUDY HARO,	No. H-2290 FR	
13	Respondent.		
14	ORDER DENYING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE		
15	On May 15, 2009, a Decision was ren	dered in Case No. H-2290 FR revoking the	
16	real estate salesperson license of Respondent effective June 17, 2009.		
17	On October 28, 2019, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate		
18	salesperson license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of		
19	the filing of said petition.		
20	The burden of proving rehabilitation r	rests with the petitioner (Feinstein v. State	
21	Bar (1952) 39 Cal. 2d 541). A petitioner is required to show greater proof of honesty and		
22	integrity than an applicant for first time licensure. Th	ne proof must be sufficient to overcome the	
23	prior adverse judgment on the applicant's character (Tardiff v. State Bar (1980) 27 Cal. 3d 395).	
24	I have considered the petition of Resp	ondent and the evidence submitted in	
25	support thereof.		
26	///		
27	///		
	- 1 -		

II

1	The Department has developed criteria in Section 2911 of Title 10, California		
2	Code of Regulations (Regulations) to assist in evaluating the rehabilitation of an applicant for		
3	reinstatement of a license. Among the criteria relevant in this proceeding are:		
4			
5	Regulation 2911(10) Discharge of, or bona fide efforts toward discharging,		
6	adjudicated debts or monetary obligations to others.		
7	Respondent has several tax liens with the Franchise Tax Boards since 2008, to		
8	which she has failed to arrange repayment plans or demonstrated bona fide efforts in repaying		
	paying these debts.		
9	Respondent has failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondent has		
10	undergone sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the reinstatement of Respondent's real estate		
11	salesperson license at this time.		
12	Given the violations found and the fact that Respondent has not established that		
13	Respondent has satisfied Regulations 2911(10), I am not satisfied that Respondent is sufficiently		
14	rehabilitated to receive a real estate salesperson license.		
15	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for		
16	reinstatement of Respondent's real estate salesperson license is denied.		
17	This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on SEP 0 2 2020		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED $3 \cdot 4 \cdot 20$		
19	DOUGLAS R. McCAULEY		
20	REAL ESTATE COMMISSIONER		
21			
22	Docob R. Mechon	7	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
	-2-		