	FILED
1	
2	Real Estate CounselBUREAU OF REAL ESTATECalifornia Bureau of Real EstateBy MROUCH
3	
4	
5	Telephone: (916) 263-8682 (Direct)   Fax: (916) 263-3767
6	
7	
8	DEFODE THE DUDE AN OF DEAL STOR
9	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Application of )
12	GARY NEAL CURTIS,
13	Respondent.
14	
15	The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, in her official capacity as a Supervising
16	Special Investigator of the State of California, brings this Statement of Issues against GARY
17	NEAL CURTIS ("Respondent") and is informed and alleges as follows:
18	1
19	On or about March 17, 2016, Respondent made application to the Bureau of Real
20	Estate of the State of California ("the Bureau") for a real estate salesperson license.
21	DISCIPLINARY ACTION
22	2
23	On or about June 2, 2012, before the Commission of Appraisers of Real Estate,
24	State of Nevada, Case No. AP10.057.N, Respondent signed a Voluntary Surrender, wherein
25	Respondent voluntarily surrendered his Certified General Appraiser License in lieu of other
26	disciplinary action. In the Voluntary Surrender, Respondent admitted to having violated the
27	following standards as published by the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice

1

- 1 -

("USPAP") adopted by the Appraisal Standards Board of the Appraisal Foundation of the State 1 2 of Nevada:

a) NAC 645C.460(1)(a) including the act found in NAC 645C.405(1): Failing to 3 4 prominently state in the appraisal which reporting option was used. b) NAC 645C.405: failing to prominently state which reporting option used; 5 failing to clearly and/or accurately report the location of the subject property; 6 7 failing to clearly and accurately describe the subject property; including a 8 false photograph of the subject property in the report; failing to sufficiently 9 describe the shape of the subject site and any effect on value; failing to 10 explain the proposed on and off site improvements; and failing to sufficiently 11 analyze and discuss in his report relevant comparable sales available at the 12 time of his appraisal. 13 c) NRS 645C.460(1)(a)&(b), including the act found in NAC 645C.405(1): 14 failing to accurately and/or sufficiently communicate the results of his 15 appraisal as evidenced in his report, and by reporting a false photo and/or 16 inspecting the wrong property. 17 d) NRS 645C.460(1)(a), including the act found in NAC 645C.405(1): failing to 18 retain a copy of the appraisal and work file for the required retention period, 19 Respondent is in violation of the Record-Keeping Section of the Ethics Rule 20 of USPAP. 21 e) NRS 645C.460(b), including the act found in NAC 645C.405(1)&(4): failing 22 to have sufficient geographic competence to perform the appraisal of the 23 subject property as evidenced in Respondent's report. f) USPAP Standards Rule 1-1(a), (b) & (c), which is unprofessional conduct

pursuant to NRS 645C.460(1)(a), including the act found in NAC

24

25

26

27

645C.405(1): failing to properly identify the subject property's location and topography; failing to properly appraise the subject property "As is" and/or

1	properly assume the subject property will be developed into 1 to 5 acre
2	commercial pads; failing to properly analyze the Highest and Best Use of the
3	subject property; and failing to properly analyze the effect on value of on and
4	
5	g) USPAP Standards Rule 1-2(e), which is unprofessional conduct pursuant to
6	NRS 645C.460(1)(a), including the act found at NAC 645C.405(1): failing to
7	clearly and accurately identify where the subject property is located; failing to
8	identify the gravel/borrow pit located on the subject site; and failing to
9	identify the shape and topography of the subject site.
10	h) USPAP Standards Rule 1-2(f) and/or (g), which is unprofessional conduct
11	pursuant to NRS 645C.460(1)(a), including the act found at NAC
12	645C.405(1): failing to clearly and accurately identify where the subject
13	property is either being appraised "As is" or as a hypothetical condition that
14	the subject property will be developed into 1 to 5 acre pads.
15	i) USPAP Standards Rule 1-3(a), which is unprofessional conduct pursuant to
16	NRS 645C.460(1)(a), including the act found at NAC 645C.405(1): failing to
17	properly analyze the economic supply and demand of the subject property as
18	evidenced by Respondent's report.
19	j) USPAP Standards Rule 1-4(a), which is unprofessional conduct pursuant to
20	NRS 645C.460(1)(a), including the act found at NAC 645C.405(1): failing to
21	sufficiently analyze relevant comparable sales as evidenced in Respondent's
22	report.
23	k) USPAP Standards Rule 2-1(a), (b) & (c), which is unprofessional conduct
24	pursuant to NRS 645C.460(1)(a), including the act found at NAC
25	645C.405(1): failing to accurately report the location of the subject property;
26	failing to report the gravel pit located on the subject property; by including a
27	false picture of the subject property; failing to clearly report the shape and
11	

- 3 -

		ĺ
1	topography of the subject property; and failing to clearly and accurately report	
2	1 -	
3		
4	l) USPAP Standards Rule 2-2, which is unprofessional conduct pursuant to NRS	
5		
6		
7	2 A Contraction of the second s	
8	to NRS 645C.460(1)(a), including the act found at NAC 645C.405(1): failing	
9	to accurately report the location of the subject property; failing to report the	
10	gravel pit located on the subject site; and including a false picture of the	
11	subject property.	
12	n) USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(b)(x), which is unprofessional conduct pursuant to	
13	NRS 645C.460(1)(a), including the act found at NAC 645C.405(1): failing to	
14	clearly and accurately report the extraordinary assumptions and hypothetical	
15	conditions as evidenced in Respondent's report.	
16	MATTERS IN AGGRAVATION	
17	3	
18	On or about November 21, 2011, before the Director of the Office of Real Estate	
19	Appraisers, State of California, Case Nos. C080707-01, C081030-09, C100318-01, and	
20	C100318-02, Respondent signed a Stipulated Voluntary Surrender of License and Order, which	
21	was adopted by the Director of the Office of Real Estate Appraisers by way of Decision and	
22	Order on or about December 16, 2011.	
23	GROUNDS FOR DENIAL	
24	4	
25	The facts identified in Paragraph 2, above, constitute cause under Section	
26	10177(g) (demonstrated negligence of incompetence in performing an act for which he or she is	
27	required to hold a license) of the Business and Professions Code for the denial of all licenses	

1 and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law.

2 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that the above-entitled matter be set for hearing and, upon proof of the charges contained herein, that the Commissioner refuse to 3 authorize the issuance of, and deny the issuance of a real estate salesperson license to 4 Respondent, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of 5 6 law. 7 **BRENDA SMITH** 8 Supervising Special Investigator 9 Dated at Fresno, California, S day of May this 10 , 2017. 11 12 **DISCOVERY DEMAND** 13 Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, et seq. of the Administrative Procedure Act, the Bureau of Real Estate hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in 14 the Administrative Procedure Act. Failure to provide Discovery to the Bureau of Real Estate 15 may result in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the 16 Office of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 - 5 -