MARTHA J. ROSETT, Counsel (SBN 142072) Department of Real Estate FILED 320 West Fourth Street, Ste. 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 AUG 2 4 2012 Telephone: (213) 576-6982 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Direct: (213) 620-6430 (Direct) 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of H-38368 LA 12 SINAI MORTGAGE CORPORATION, <u>ACCUSATION</u> 13 a corporate real estate broker, and WILLIAM ARCHILA, 14 individually and as former designated broker officer) of Sinai Mortgage Corporation, 15 16 Respondents. 17 The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the 18 State of California, for cause of Accusation against Respondents SINAI MORTGAGE 19 20 CORPORATION., a corporate real estate broker, and WILLIAM ARCHILA, individually and as 21 former designated broker-officer of Sinai Mortgage Corporation, is informed and alleges as 22 follows: 23 1. 24 The Complainant, Robin Trujillo makes this Accusation in her official capacity. 25 2. 26 Respondent SINAI MORTGAGE CORPORATION., dba Mount Sinai Realty, 27

and dba Sinai Escrow Division ("SINAI"), at all times relevant herein was licensed and/or had license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") as a corporate real estate broker. At all times relevant herein, Respondent SINAI was authorized to act by and through Respondent WILLIAM ARCHILA as the designated officer and broker responsible, pursuant to the provisions of Code Section 10159.2 for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of SINAI by SINAI's officers and employees. SINAI has been licensed as a corporate real estate broker since April 26, 2002.

3.

Respondent WILLIAM ARCHILA (hereinafter "ARCHILA") is presently licensed, and/or has license rights under the Code, as a real estate broker. Respondent ARCHILA has been licensed by the Department as a real estate broker since on or about March 19, 2002.

4.

Respondent SINAI is a California corporation. ARCHILA is the Chief Executive Officer of the corporation. As set forth more fully below, SINAI's corporate status of SINAI was suspended effective on or about October 3, 2011. SINAI's corporate status has remained suspended and it is not currently a corporation in good standing in the State of California.

5.

All further references to "Respondents" include the parties listed in Paragraphs 2 through 4 above, as well as the officers, agents, affiliates and employees of the parties listed in Paragraphs 2 through 4.

6.

At all times relevant herein, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the

1 3

6

5

8

7

9 10

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

27

26

capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California within the meaning of Code Sections 10131(a) (representing buyers and sellers of property) and 10131(d) (representing borrowers and lenders in negotiating loans secured by property), and performing escrows in relation to those activities.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

Audit No. LA 100278

7.

On November 30, 2011, the Department completed an audit examination of Respondent SINAI's books and records pertaining to the residential sales, mortgage lending, and related escrow activities described in Paragraph 6 above, covering a period from January 1, 2009 to July 31, 2011. The primary purpose of the examination was to determine Respondent SINAI's compliance with the Real Estate Law. The examination, Audit No. LA 100278, revealed violations of the Code and Title 10, Chapter 6 of the California Code of Regulations ("Regulations"), as set forth below, and as more specifically set forth in the Audit Report and Exhibits attached thereto.

8.

During the examination period, Respondent SINAI, acting by and through ARCHILA as its designated broker officer, performed resale, mortgage loan origination and related escrow activities. SINAI reported closing two (2) listing transactions and three (3) sales transactions, with a total aggregate value of \$1.3 million during the last twelve months of the audit period. SINAI handled approximately \$6,000.00 in earnest money deposits relating to its residential resale activities during the last twelve months of the audit period. SINAI reported closing approximately five (5) loans, for a total of \$1.5 million, during the last twelve months of the audit period. During the audit period, SINAI provided escrow services to its own clients,

mainly for those whose loans were packaged by SINAI. However, according to ARCHILA, SINA ceased its broker-escrow activity in December of 2009.

9.

According to ARCHILA, SINAI maintained one trust account pertaining to its broker-escrow activities during the audit period. The account was held at East West Bank, account number XX-XXXX3024. That account was closed as of December 31, 2009. After that time, SINAI did not maintain a trust account in connection with its real estate activities.

10.

In the course of activities described in Paragraph 9 above, and during the examination period described in Paragraph 8, Respondents acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in that:

- a) Respondent SINAI, while supervised by ARCHILA, directly forwarded earnest money deposits obtained in its resale business to escrow and did not place these trust funds into a trust account. However, SINAI did not maintain a record of these trust funds received and forwarded to escrow, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.
- b) In two of the seven purchase contracts examined during the audit, the earnest money deposits were held beyond three business days after the acceptance of the offers without written authorization from the principals, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832.
- c) SINAI and ARCHILA did not make the real estate salesperson license certificates of Edwin Mauricio Maravilla, Guillermo Maravilla and Oraz Rafael available for examination during the audit, in violation of Code Section 10160 and Regulation 2753.
- d) In one sampled resale transaction, the agent licensed under SINAI who represented the buyer, failed to disclose his license identification number on the purchase

		١
1	10(d) Code Section 10140.6(b); Regulation 2773.	
3	Code Section10145; Regulation 2834.	
4		
5	10(f) Code Section 10176(g)	
6 7	10(g) Code Section 10176(g); Regulation 2950(h).	
8 9	10(h) Code Section 10236.4(b).	
	The foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the	
10		
11	real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents SINAI and ARCHILA under the provisions	
12	of Code Sections 10145, 10177(d) and 10177(g).	
13	12.	
14	The foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the	
15	real estate licenses and license rights of Respondent ARCHILA pursuant to Code Section	
16		
17	10177(h) for failing to adequately supervise the real estate activities of SINAI to ensure	
18	compliance with the Real Estate Law.	
19	SUSPENSION OF CORPORATE POWERS	
20	13.	
21	On or about August 1, 2007, pursuant to the provisions of Section 23302 of the	
22		
23	California Revenue and Taxation Code, the corporate rights and privileges of Respondent SINAI	
24	were suspended by the California Secretary of State. The corporate rights and privileges of	
25	Respondent SINAI have remained suspended since that time.	
26	///	
-27	///	

///

///

The suspension of the corporate powers of Respondent SINAI, as set forth in paragraph 13 above, constitutes grounds to suspend or revoke Respondent SINAI's corporate real estate broker license pursuant to Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(f) and/or 10177(g) in conjunction with Regulation 2742.

15.

The suspension of the corporate powers of Respondent SINAI by the California Secretary of State for failure to pay taxes is grounds to suspend or revoke the license and/or license rights of Respondent ARCHILA for failure to supervise the activities of the corporation, in violation of Code Sections 10159.2, 10177(h), 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondents SINAI MORTGAGE Dated at Los Angeles, California, this 22 day of Muzust Sinai Mortgage Corporation cc: William Archila Robin Trujillo

Sacto.

CORPORATION and WILLIAM ARCHILA under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for the cost of investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law. Deputy Real Estate Commissioner