	FILED			
1	JAMES DEMUS, Counsel (SBN 225005)			
2	Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 MAR 06 2013			
3	Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE			
4	Telephone: (213) 576-6982 BY:			
5				
6				
7				
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE			
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	* * *			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-38754 LA			
12	SUBURBAN MORTGAGE COMPANY OF NEW $A C C U S A T I O N$			
13	MEXICO; and JAMIL E. ATCHA, ) individually and as designated )			
14	officer of Suburban Mortgage Company of New Mexico,			
15				
16	Respondents.			
17	The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate			
18	Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation			
19	against SUBURBAN MORTGAGE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO and JAMIL E.			
20	ATCHA, individually and as designated officer of Suburban			
21	Mortgage Company of New Mexico, alleges as follows:			
22	1.			
23	The Complainant, Maria Suarez, acting in her official			
24	capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of			
25	California, makes this Accusation against SUBURBAN MORTGAGE			
26	COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO and JAMIL E. ATCHA.			
27				
	- 1 -			

 $\checkmark$ 

1 2. 2 All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" 3 are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations. 4 5 LICENSE HISTORY б 3. 7 At all times mentioned, SUBURBAN MORTGAGE COMPANY Α. OF NEW MEXICO ("SUBURBAN") and JAMIL E. ATCHA ("ATCHA") were 8 licensed or had license rights issued by the Department of Real 9 10 Estate ("Department") as real estate brokers. At all times mentioned, SUBURBAN was licensed by 11Β. the Department as a corporate real estate broker by and through 12 ATCHA, as the designated officer and broker responsible, pursuant 13 to Code Section 10159.2 for supervising the activities requiring 14 a real estate license conducted on behalf SUBURBAN of by 15 16 SUBURBAN's officers, agents and employees, including ATCHA. 17 SUBURBAN was originally licensed on October 5, 2009. 18 At all times mentioned, JAMIL E. ATCHA ("ATCHA") С. 19 was licensed or had license rights issued by the Department as a real estate broker. On April 4, 2003, ATCHA was originally 20 licensed as a real estate broker. On October 5, 2009, ATCHA was 21 22 licensed as the designated officer of SUBURBAN. 23 BROKERAGE 24 SUBURBAN MORTGAGE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO 25 4. 26 At all times mentioned, in the City of Irvine, County 27 of Orange, SUBURBAN and ATCHA acted as real estate brokers - 2 -

F	
1	conducting licensed activities within the meaning of Code Section
2	10131(d) by soliciting borrowers or negotiating loans, or
3	collecting payments, or performing services for borrowers or
4	lenders, in connection with loans secured by real property.
5	AUDIT
6	SUBURBAN MORTGAGE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO
7	5.
8	On March 27, 2012, the Department completed an audit
9	examination of the books and records of SUBURBAN pertaining to
10	the loan activities described in Paragraph 4 which require a real
11	estate license. The audit examination covered a period of time
12	beginning on November 1, 2009 to January 31, 2012. The audit
13	examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations
14	as set forth in the following paragraphs, and as more fully
15	discussed in Audit Report LA110118 and the exhibits and
16	workpapers attached to said audit report.
17	TRUST ACCOUNT
18	6.
19	During the audit period SUBURBAN did not maintain a
20	trust account.
21	VIOLATIONS OF THE REAL ESTATE LAW
22	7.
23	In the course of activities described in Paragraph 4
24	above, and during the examination period described in Paragraph
25	5, Respondents SUBURBAN and ATCHA acted in violation of the Code
26	and the Regulations in that:
27	
:	- 3 -

-

(a) SUBURBAN engaged in the business of a real estate
broker while not in good legal standing with the office of the
Secretary of State, in violation of Regulation 2742.

(b) Between October 2010 and February 2012, SUBURBAN
failed to notify the Department that SUBURBAN had changed its
main office address, in violation of Code Section 10162 and
Regulation 2715.

8 (c) SUBURBAN engaged in business as a mortgage loan
9 originator after January 1, 2011, without obtaining and
10 maintaining a mortgage loan originator endorsement, in violation
11 of Code Section 10166.02(b).

(d) SUBURBAN failed to notify the Department that
James Randall Lynch was employed as a salesperson by SUBURBAN
during the period from July 6, 2011 to December 29, 2011, in
violation of Code Section 10161.8 and Regulation 2752.

(e) SUBURBAN failed to maintain possession of license
 certificates of real estate salespersons licensed under SUBURBAN,
 in violation of Code Section 10160 and Regulation 2753.

(f) The broker-salesperson relationship agreements
SUBURBAN had with most of its salespersons failed to cover a
material aspect of the relationship between the parties,
regarding supervision of licensed activities by the broker, in
violation of Regulation 2726.

8.

The conduct of Respondents SUBURBAN and ATCHA, described in Paragraph 7, above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below:

24

- 4 -

1	PARAGRAPH	PROVISIONS VIOLATED		
2				
3	7(a)	Regulation 2742		
4	7 (b)	Code Section 10162 and Regulation		
5		2715		
6	7 (c)	Code Section 10166.02(b)		
7				
8	7 (d)	Code Section 10161.8 and Regulation		
9		2752		
10				
11	7(e)	Code Section 10160 and Regulation		
12		2753		
13				
14	7(f)	Regulation 2726		
15				
16	The foregoing violations constitute cause for the			
17	suspension or revocation of the real estate licenses and license			
18	rights of SUBURBAN and ATCHA, under the provisions of Code			
19	Sections 10165, 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).			
20	N.	EGLIGENCE		
21		9.		
22	The overall conduct	of Respondents SUBURBAN and ATCHA		
23	constitutes negligence or incompetence. This conduct and			
24	violation are cause for the suspension or revocation of the real			
25	estate licenses and license rights of said Respondents pursuant			
26	to Code Section 10177(g).			
27	111			
ŀ				

- 5 -

11

1	SUPERVISION AND COMPLIANCE			
2	10.			
3	The overall conduct of Respondent ATCHA constitutes a	ĺ		
4	failure on his part, as officer designated by a corporate broker			
5	licensee, to exercise the reasonable supervision and control over			
6	the licensed activities of SUBURBAN as required by Code Section			
7	10159.2, and to keep SUBURBAN in compliance with the Real Estate			
8	Law, and is cause for the suspension or revocation of the real			
9	estate license and license rights of ATCHA pursuant to the			
10	provisions of Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and 10177(h).	ļ		
11	11.			
12	Code Section 10166.02(f) states:			
13	"A real estate broker or salesperson who fails to			
14	notify the department pursuant to subdivision (a), or who fails to obtain a license endorsement required			
15	pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (b), shall be			
16	assessed a penalty of fifty dollars (\$50) per day for each day written notification has not been received or			
17	a license endorsement has not been obtained, up to and including the 30 <sup>th</sup> day after the first day of the			
18	assessment penalty. On and after the 31 <sup>st</sup> day, the penalty is one hundred dollars (\$100) per day, not to			
19	exceed a total penalty of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), regardless of the number of days, until the			
20	department receives the written notification or the licensee obtains the license endorsement. Penalties			
21	for violations of subdivisions (a) and (b) shall be additive."			
22	Respondent's conduct, as described in paragraph 7(c),			
23	is subject to a penalty assessment, as set forth above.			
24	12.			
25	Code Section 10106, provides, in pertinent part, that			
26	in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding			
27				
	- 6 -			
		1		

\*\*\*\*

before the Department, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

6

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be 7 conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon 8 proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 9 action against the license and license rights of Respondents 10 SUBURBAN MORTGAGE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO and JAMIL E. ATCHA, 11 individually and as designated officer of Suburban Mortgage 12 Company of New Mexico, under the Real Estate Law, that 13 Complainant be awarded its costs of investigation and prosecution 14 of this case, and for such other and further relief as may be 15 proper under other applicable provisions of law. 16 Dated at Los Angeles, California 17 18 this 5th day of Felencer 19

2013. u/arez Mar

7 -

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

SUBURBAN MORTGAGE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO cc: C/O JAMIL E. ATCHA D.O. Maria Suarez Sacto Audits