2	ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, SBN 66674 Bureau of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350	JUN 28 2013
3	Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6911 (direct)	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
4	(213) 576-6982 (office)	
5		
7		
8	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE	
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	* * * *	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of	) ) No. H-38931 LA
12 13	MARKOR MORTGAGE INC.;	$\underbrace{ACCUSATION}_{}$
14	and, JEFFREY W. MARKELL, individually and as designated officer of Markor Mortgage Inc.,	
15 16	Respondents,	
17 18	The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the	
19	State of California, Bureau of Real Estate ("Bureau") for cause of Accusation against	
20	    MARKOR MORTGAGE INC. ("MMI") and JEFFF	
21	individually and as designated officer of Markor Mortgage Inc. (collectively "Respondents"), is	
22	informed and alleges as follows:	
23	1.	
24	The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the	
25	State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.	
26	///	
27	///	
	- 1	

2.			
From July 06, 2007 through the present, Respondent MMI has been licensed as a			
real estate corporation. At all times relevant herein, MMI was acting by and through			
Respondent MARKELL as	its designated broker-officer put	suant to Busine	ss and Professions
Code ("Code") Section 101	59.2 to be responsible for ensuri	ng compliance	with the Real Esta
Law.			
	3.		
Respondent	MARKELL was originally licen	sed as a real est	ate broker on Ma
30, 2006.			
Та	ble: Designated Officer Tenure	and MMI Owne	ership
<u> </u>			<b>r</b>
Name	D.O. Tenure	Ownership	Title
Jeffrey W. Markell	July 6, 2008 to July 6, 2011	50%	President
Lee Jay Korengold	N/A	50%	Treasurer
	4.		
	eference is made in an allegation		
omission of "Respondents", such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors			
employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with Respondents			
committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations			
-	acting within the course and sco	pe of their corpo	orate authority and
employment, including MA	ARKEL.		
///			
///			
///			
////			
	- 2 -		

.

1	FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION	
	(Audit)	
2	5.	
3	At all times mentioned, in the State of California, Respondents MMI and	
4 5	MARKELL engaged in conduct for which a real estate license was required pursuant to Code	
6	Section 10131(d). Respondents operated a mortgage and loan brokerage engaging in activities	
7	with the public wherein institutional and private hard money lenders and borrowers were	
8	solicited for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein such loans	
9	were arranged, negotiated, processed, consummated and serviced on behalf of others for	
10 11	compensation or in expectation of compensation and for fees often collected in advance.	
12	6.	
13	On June 26, 2012, the Bureau completed an audit examination of the books and	
14	records of Respondent MMI pertaining to the mortgage loan activity described in Paragraph 5,	
15	which requires a real estate license. The audit examination covered a period of time beginning	
16	on January 1, 2011 to February 29, 2012. The audit examination revealed violations of the	
17	Code and Regulations as alleged in the following paragraphs, and more fully set forth in Audit	
18	Report LA 110204 and the exhibits and work papers attached thereto.	
19	7.	
. 20	During the audit period, MMI did not maintain a trust account.	
21	8.	
22	In the course of activities described in Paragraph 5, above, and during the	
23 24	examination period, described in Paragraph 6, Respondents MMI and MARKELL acted in	
25	violation of the Code and the Regulations in that Respondents:	
26		
27		
	- 3 -	

: **t** 

×	
	(a) Failed to provide or deliver a statement in writing, to wit, a Lender/Purchaser
1	
2	Disclosure Statement, containing all the information required by Code Section 10232.4 to
3	lenders Val Chris, Hall Mortgage, Access, Rama, and Tellone, before said lenders became
4	obligated to make the loan and before the receipt by or on behalf of MMI of any funds from said
5	lenders, in violation of Code Section 10232.4 and Regulation 2846;
6	(b) Failed to retain a true and correct complete copy of a Bureau of Real Estate
7	approved Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement signed by the broker for borrowers Robert R.,
8	Mohammad Z., Tiffany T., Wendy W., and Tomas W., in violation of Code Section 10240 and
9	Regulation 2840;
10	(c) Failed to display the MMI's license number on the on the Mortgage Loan
11	Disclosure Statements for borrowers Mohammad Z., Tiffany T., Wendy W., and Tomas W., in
12	violation of Code Section 10236.4;
13	(d) Failed to offer another loan without a prepayment fee or penalty to borrowers
14	in a covered loan transaction for borrowers Susan A. and Wendy W., in violation of Financial
15	Code Section 4973(a)(2)(A);
16 17	(e) Negotiated a covered loan transaction where the borrowers, Susan A. and
18	Wendy W., total monthly debts exceeded 55% of their monthly income, in violation of Financial
19	Code Section 4973(f)(1);
20	(f) MMI failed to notify the Bureau within 30 days of commencing mortgage loan
21	activity to obtain a Mortgage Loan Originator endorsement, in violation of Code Section
22	10166.02(a);
23	(g) Used the fictitious name of "Markor Mortgage", to conduct licensed activities
24 25	the state of the first state in the Duropy of light
26	
20	bearing said neutrous business name, in violation of 2 carriers
27	
	- 4 -

(h)	Failed to retain all records of MMI's mortgage loan activity during the audit
period requiring a	real estate broker license, in violation of Code Section 10148; and
(i) .	MARKELL failed to adequately supervise and control the mortgage loan
activity conducted	under MMI's real estate broker license. Moreover, Respondents had no
system in place fo	r regularly monitoring MMI's compliance with the Real Estate Law especial
in regard to establ	ishing, systems, policies and procedures to keep MMI in compliance with the
Real Estate Law, i	in violation of Code Sections 10159.2, 10177(h) and Regulation 2725.
9.	
The	e conduct of Respondents MMI and MARKELL, described in Paragraph 8,
above, violated the	e Code and the Regulations as set forth below:
<u>PARAGRAPH</u>	PROVISIONS VIOLATED
8(a)	Code Section 10232.4 and Regulation 2846
8(b)	Code Section 10240 and Regulation 2840
8(c)	Code Section 10236.4(b)
8(d)	Financial Code Section 4973(a)(2)(A)
8(e)	Financial Code Section 4973(f)(1)
8(f)	Code Section 10166.02(a)
8(g)	Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731
8(h)	Code Section 10148
8(i)	Code Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h) and Regulation 2725 (MARKELL
The foregoing violations constitute cause for the discipline of the real estate licenses and	
license rights of Respondents MMI and MARKELL under the provisions of Code Sections	
10177(d), and/or 1	10177(g) and 10177(h) (MARKELL).

- 5 -

1	SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Negligence)
2	10.
3	The overall conduct of Respondents MMI and MARKELL constitutes
4	negligence and is cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights of said
5	Respondents pursuant to the provisions of Code Section 10177(g).
6	THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Fiduciary Duty)
8	11.
9	The conduct, acts and omissions of Respondents MMI and MARKELL
10	constitute a breach of fiduciary duty, owed to MMI's clients, of good faith, trust, confidence and
11	candor, within the scope of their brokerage relationship, in violation of Code Section 10177(g)
12	and constitutes cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights of said
13	Respondents pursuant to the provisions of Code Section 10177(g).
14	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION
15	(Supervision and Compliance)
16	12.
17	The overall conduct of Respondent MARKELL constitutes a failure on said
18	Respondent's part, as officer designated by a corporate broker licensee, to exercise the
19	reasonable supervision and control over the licensed activities and employees of MMI as
20	required by Code Section 10159.2 and Regulation 2725, and to keep MMI in compliance with
21	the Real Estate Law, and is cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights of
22	Respondent MARKELL pursuant to the provisions of Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and
23	10177(h).
24	13.
25	Code Section 10106 provides, in part, that in any order issued in resolution of a
26	disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau of Real Estate, the Commissioner may request the
27	assessment proceeding outpre the Dureau of Real Estate, the Commissioner may request the
	- 6 -

i.	
'	
1	administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to
2	pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
3	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations
4	of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary
5	action against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondents MARKOR MORTGAGE INC.
6	
7	and JEFFREY W.MARKELL, individually and as designated officer of Markor Mortgage Inc.,
8	under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions
9	Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of
10	law, including but not limited to costs investigation and enforcement.
11	Dated at Los Angeles, California.
12	
14	this 27 Hay of, 2013.
15	
16	Ma. Markan
17	MARIA SUAREZ
18	Deputy Real Estate Commissioner
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	cc: Markor Mortgage Inc. Jeffrey W. Markell
24	Maria Suarez
25	Isabel Beltran Sacto
26	
27	
	- 7 -