

AUG 1 5 2023

DEPT OF REAL ESTATE

By _____

LAURENCE D. HAVESON, Counsel (SBN 152631) Department of Real Estate

320 West 4th Street, Suite 350

Los Angeles, California 90013-1105

3 Telephone:

(213) 576-6982

Direct:

(213) 576-6854 (213) 576-6917

Fax: Email:

Laurence.Haveson@dre.ca.gov

Attorney for Complainant

6

5

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

28

111

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * *

In the Matter of the Application of:

ROBERTO GONZALEZ VIRAMONTES,

Respondent.

No. H-42695-LA

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

Complainant, Jason Parson, a Supervising Special Investigator for the Department of Real Estate ("Department" or "DRE") of the State of California, for cause of Statement of Issues against ROBERTO GONZALEZ VIRAMONTES ("GONZALEZ"), also known as Robert Gonzalez Viramontes, Jr., Roberto Gonzalez Viramontes, Jr., Roberto Gonzalez Viramontes, Jr., Roberto Gonzalez Viramontes ("Respondent"), is informed and alleges in his official capacity as follows:

1. On or about December 20, 2022, Respondent made application to the Department for a real estate salesperson license.

PREVIOUS LICENSE HISTORY

2. Respondent was previously licensed by the Department, first as a real estate salesperson ("RES") from on or about April 12, 1991, to on or about January 17, 1995, and then as a real estate broker ("REB"), License ID 01107842, from on or about January 18, 1995, through on or about November 10, 2016, at which time Respondent's license was revoked for violations of the Real Estate Law.

PRIOR DISCIPLINE: DRE CASE NO. H-40061-LA

3. By the Decision of the Real Estate Commissioner ("DRE Decision"), effective November 10, 2016, adopting the Proposed Decision of the Office of Administrative Hearings ("OAH") dated September 14, 2016, in DRE Case No. H-40061-LA, Respondent's REB license was revoked after the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") found by clear and convincing evidence that GONZALEZ violated Business and Professions Code ("Code") sections 10145, 10148, subdivision (a), 10176, subdivisions (a), (e), and (i), 10177, subdivisions (d) and/or (g).

PREVIOUS APPLICATION FOR RES LICENSE: DRE CASE NO. H-41985-LA

4. On May 11, 2021, the Department filed a Statement of Issues against Respondent in DRE Case No. H-41985-LA, regarding his application to the DRE for a RES license made on or about August 26, 2019. By the Decision of the Real Estate Commissioner, effective October 25, 2021, adopting the Proposed Decision of the ALJ of the OAH dated August 11, 2021, in DRE Case No. H-41985-LA, Respondent's application for a RES license was denied. The ALJ found that cause existed, pursuant to Code section 10177, subdivisions (f) and (j), to deny Respondent's RES application because Respondent's Mortgage Loan Originator ("MLO") license was revoked by the California Department of Financial Protection and Innovation ("DFPI"), which made express findings that Respondent violated the law, and because Respondent engaged in fraud or dishonest dealing as a real estate broker. The ALJ also found that Respondent did not establish that he is rehabilitated and qualified for licensure pursuant to section 2911, Title 10, California Code of Regulations.

CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(LICENSE DISCIPLINE BY ANOTHER STATE AGENCY)

- 5. By the Decision of the Commissioner of the DFPI, effective December 21, 2020, adopting the Proposed Decision of the ALJ of the OAH dated August 11, 2020, in *In the Matter of the Commissioner of the Department of Financial Protection and Innovation v. Robert Gonzalez, Jr.*. DFPI Case No. 60DBO072891:
 - a. Respondent was barred from any position of employment, management, or control of any finance lender, broker, or mortgage loan originator.

- Respondent's MLO license under California Financial Code section 22714
 was revoked.
 - c. Respondent's application for a finance lender license was denied.
- 6. The DFPI Commissioner adopted the findings of the ALJ that, among other things:
- a. In May 2017 Respondent's application for an MLO license with the DFPI under the California Financing Law ("CFL"), Financial Code section 22105.1, using form MU4 through the Nationwide Mortgage Licensing System & Registry ("NMLS"), and in his subsequent MU4 and MU2 applications, Respondent failed to disclose the DRE's Decision in DRE Case No. H-40061-LA that revoked Respondent's REB license, and failed to disclose that he had filed for bankruptcy in 2015.
- b. In Respondent's June 2017 application for a finance lending license using form MU1, Respondent falsely answered "No" to regulatory disclosure questions about prior discipline imposed by state regulatory agencies, failed to disclose the DRE's Decision in DRE Case No. H-40061-LA that revoked Respondent's REB license, and falsely answered "No" to whether the applicant or a control affiliate had been the subject of a bankruptcy petition.
- c. Cause existed under Financial Code section 22169 to bar Respondent from any position of employment, management, or control of any finance lender, broker, or mortgage loan originator. The DRE's Decision in DRE Case No. H-40061-LA established that Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit reasonably related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a person engaged in the business in accordance with the provisions of the CFL.
- 7. Based on the DFPI's Decision in DFPI Case No. 60DBO072891, Respondent's MLO license, issued by the DFPI, was revoked, and Respondent received an order of debarment, for acts that, if done by a real estate licensee, would be grounds for the suspension or revocation of a California real estate licensee under Code sections 10176(a) (substantial misrepresentation), 10176(i) (fraud or dishonest dealing), and 10177(a) (procured license by fraud, misrepresentation, deceit, or material misstatement).

2023.